



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	ICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/827,505)/827,505 04/19/2004		Pauline Pan	A0000366-C1-03-ESW	3468	
7590 09/13/2004				EXAMINER		
Darryl C. Litt	le, Esq.		WITHERSPOON, SIKARL A			
Pfizer Inc. 201 Tabor Roa	d			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Morris Plains,			1621			
				DATE MAILED: 09/13/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/827,505		PAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	, 	Art Unit				
	•	Sikarl A. W	ithoropoon	1621				
	The MAILING DATE of this communicat							
Period f	or Reply			·				
THE - Extended after - If the If Note Failer - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) decoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no even ation. ays, a reply within the statutry period will apply and will by statute, cause the applic	ory minimum of thirty (3 expire SIX (6) MONTHs cation to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>08 July 20</u> 04.						
·		\boxtimes This action is no	n-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for	lication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Qua</i>	yle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the appl	ication.						
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· -	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,14 and 18-23</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) 7,9-13 and 15-17 is/are objected							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction		quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.						
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by	the Examiner.				
, _	Applicant may not request that any objection							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the							
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note	e the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreian priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
,	□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ioreign priority unde	31 00 0.0.0. 3 1	13(4)-(4) 51 (1).				
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been	received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			lication No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the							
	application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	-				
* (See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the certifie	ed copies not red	ceived.				
Attachmer	• •		د در دروان مراس (۱ مراس ا د دروان مراسم المراس (۱ مراس ا	(DTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)		mary (PTO-413) fail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		5) 🔲 Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>2</u> .	6	6)					

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo et al (US 4,950,686).

Kondo discloses the compound, 7-methyltropolone (col. 1, line 32 and col. 11, lines 1-5). The instant claims are drawn to a compound of formula (I) *and isomers* thereof, and as such, the compound disclosed by Kondo et al anticipates the instant claims when any of one of R_1 to R_3 in instant formula (I) is a methyl group and the others are hydrogen.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Art Unit: 1621

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 18-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,689,342. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims only differ from the above mentioned U.S. Patent claims in the absence of an *express* recitation of at least one essential oil in the oral care composition. However, the "comprising" language found in the instant claims does not exclude the presence of other materials that may have an oral care effect, such as an essential oil. Furthermore, as per applicants' own admission on page 14, lines 1-5 in the instant specification, certain essential oils are known to be effective in delivering therapeutic agents to the oral cavity. Further, the U.S. Patent discloses at column 3, lines 34-39 that the essential oils used in the oral care compositions of the disclosed invention are present to provide antiseptic activity. It therefore would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill to include an essential oil in an oral care

composition for treating or preventing diseases or conditions of the oral cavity, so as to afford an antiseptic activity to the composition.

Claim 18 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,787,675.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant composition comprises a tropolone compound that is encompassed by the generic formula of the tropolone compound(s) present in the oral care composition disclosed in the U.S. Patent; as such, the composition being claimed herein is *essentially* the same as that which is claimed in the U.S. Patent.

Claims 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 2-6 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,787,675. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Objections

Applicant is advised that should claim 8 be found allowable, claim 14 will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

Application/Control Number: 10/827,505

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 7, 9-13, and 15-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected

base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sikarl A. Witherspoon whose telephone number is 571-

272-0649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sikarl A. Witherspoon 9/7/04

Sikarl A. Witherspoon

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600